

Applying 'Fair & Just Culture' Principles in Decision Making





Applying 'Fair & Just Culture' Principles in Decision Making

1. What is a Fair & Just Culture?

A Fair and Just Culture refers to a way of safety thinking that promotes a questioning attitude, is resistant to complacency, is committed to excellence and fosters both personal accountability and corporate self-regulation in safety matters.

A Fair and Just Culture creates an atmosphere of trust in which people are encouraged for providing essential safety related information, but in which they are also clear about where the line must be drawn between acceptable and unacceptable behaviour.

A Fair and Just Culture has to be applied with the knowledge that:

- All management systems can be improved,
- People can and do make mistakes,
- People/groups at all levels may develop unhealthy/unsafe patterns of behaviour, and
- Reckless conduct will not be tolerated.

2. Principles of a Fair & Just Culture

A Fair and Just Culture balances the need to have a non-punitive reporting and continuous learning environment (i.e. an environment that is not focused on attributing blame and administering punishment), with the need to hold persons accountable for their actions.

In a *Fair and Just Culture*, behaviours may fall below expectation however employees may not always be in the wrong as there may be underlying work culture factors or system deficiencies affecting individual or group decision-making.

A Fair and Just Culture recognises that there will be situations where safety behaviours fall below expectation and it provides a managed process (with appropriate support) for dealing with such issues transparently and equitably.

A Fair and Just Culture seeks to improve the overall organisational culture and the safety performance of the organisation by means of behavioural and/or system modification. It also encourages employees to take greater personal responsibility for their actions.

Additionally, it recognises that firm actions might need to be taken in circumstances where, despite the knowledge of company safety practices and/or clear instruction given, inappropriate behaviours are still evident.

A Fair and Just Culture therefore should:

 focus attention predominately at identifying and addressing the system/organisation factors that impact reliability and performance,

- provide an atmosphere of trust in which people are encouraged for providing essential safety- related information (e.g. incident, hazard and near miss reports) in order to build and maintain a healthy reporting culture, and
- clearly define where the line is drawn between acceptable and unacceptable behaviour.

3. Utilising these Principles in Decision Making

Utilising Fair and Just Culture principles in decision making and consequence management requires an understanding of human factors in relation to incident investigation. This includes defining positive outcomes, while at the same time clearly delineating the boundaries of acceptable and unacceptable behaviours to ensure that:

- Incidents that result from honest mistakes and failures in human reliability are not punished, but rather acknowledged as failures in the organisations defenses.
- Reckless actions and violations are not tolerated and are treated appropriately,
- The development and ongoing support of healthy reporting, learning and informed cultures is maintained.

A *Fair and Just Culture* is an appropriate mechanism for dealing with both operating system improvements and individual/group behaviour modification as an outcome of investigations into actual or potential damaging incidents.

In the event of a damaging incident, near miss or identified risk to safety, the *Fair* and *Just Culture* approach for consequence management allows a clear and understandable approach between the two extremes of punitive and blame-free cultures.

Below is a Fair and Just Culture Decision Chart to enable managers at various levels of an organisation to apply the principles of a Fair and Just Culture in their incident investigation and decision making process.

4. Fair and Just Culture Decision Chart

Recognition Coaching Initiate Discipline

Behaviour Type	Exceptional Behaviour		Expected Behaviour		Unintentional Behaviour(slip, lapse, or mistake		Routine Violation		Situational Violation		Organisational Optimising Violation		Personal Optimising Violation		Reckless Violation
Team Member Behaviour START	Did the team member go above and beyond call of duty?		Were all procedures and instructions followed?		Did the team member think they were doing things the right way?		Do other team members normally not follow safety procedures in the same way?		Did the team member think the procedure was a barrier to getting the job done?		Did the team member think there was some benefit for the company by doing the job a different way?		Did the team member vary from the procedure to make it easier for themselves?		Did the team member intentionally not follow the procedure without thinking or caring about the consequences?
· ·	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes
	Ţ	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1
Team Member Consequence	□ Recognition of reward. □ Record on employee file. □ Communication to wider team. □ Copy to supervisor.		□ No action required.		☐ Does this happen often? (if yes, routine error & record for trend analysis) ☐ Record type of error on file (incident management Database) ☐ Coach person on taking more care.		□ Coach team members on importance of following correct procedures and not taking short cuts. □ Record on file.		□ Coach the team members on speaking up when procedures cannot be followed and delaying the job until it can be completed safely. □ Record on file.		□ Coach the team member on balancing work and time pressure with company values. Consider disciplinary measures where appropriate. □ Record on employee file.		□ Formal disciplinary action in accordance with relevant procedures. □ Consider suspension until further action required. □ Record on employee file.		□ Formal disciplinary action in accordance with relevant procedures. □ Consider suspension until further action required. □ Record on employee file.
Supervisor / Manager Behaviour	Did the supervisor / manager also exhibit exceptional behaviour?		Does the supervisor / manager leady by example by complying with procedures and instructions?		Did the supervisor / manager supervising think the task was being completed in the required manner?		Did the supervisor / manager normally ensure work is completed in the appropriate and correct manner?		Did the supervisor / manager know the procedure was a barrier to getting the job done however managed the matter appropriately?		Did the supervisor / manager authorise shortcuts or other non- approved methods thinking this was a benefit for the company?		Did the supervisor /manager manage the variance / behaviour on this or previous occasion?		Did the supervisor / manager condone the actions of the team member?
	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes
	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1	\rightarrow	1
Supervisor / Manager Consequence	□ Recognition or reward. □ Record on employee file. □ Communicate to wider team. □ Copy to supervisor.		□ No action required.		□ Does this happen often? (if yes, routine error & record for trend analysis) □ Record type of error on file. □ Coach employee on taking more care.		□ Coaching on how to monitor & enforce procedures. □ Safety leadership skills training. □ Record on file.		□ Coaching on how to monitor & enforce procedures. □ Safety leadership skills training. □ Record on file.		□ Consider H & S leadership training. □ Formal disciplinary action in accordance with relevant procedures. □ Record on employee file.		□ Formal disciplinary action in accordance with relevant procedures. □ Coaching on how to recognise and deal with such behaviour earlier. □ Record on employee file.		□ Formal disciplinary action in accordance with relevant procedures. □ Consider suspension until further action required. □ Record on employee file.

APPENDIX - Reference List

Roadmap to a Just Culture Enhancing the Safety Environment James Reason, 2004

Shell Hearts and Minds Project Hudson's Refined Just Culture Model Patrick Hudson 2004